

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,979	07/17/2003	In-Shan Sir	B-5161 621097-0	2999
36716	7590 02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100			FAISON, VERONICA F	
	LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAIL CD. 005/4/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,979	SIR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Veronica F. Faison	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,5-14,17,21-26 and 29-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
, = , , ,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,5-14,17,21-26 and 29-34</u> is/are rejected.					
,	· · /					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath of declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
		su in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) T laba - : 0	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-1-04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,979

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1, 17 have been amended, claims 29-34 have been added and claims 2, 3, 15, 16, 18, 19, 27 and 28 have been canceled. Hence, claims 1, 4-14, 17, 20-26 and 29-34 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-14, 17, 21-26 and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Koitabashi et al (US Patent 6,387,168).

Koitabashi et al an ink composition comprising a first pigment, a second pigment and a dispersant, both of the pigments being dispersed in an aqueous medium, wherein the first pigment is a self-dispersing pigment (macromolecular chromphores (MMCs)) having an anionic group or a cationic group, the group being bonded directly or through

Application/Control Number: 10/622,979 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

an atomic group to a surface of the pigment (abstract and col. 2 lines 55-62). The reference further teaches that the ink has printing properties such as dot diameter is great, optical density (OD) is high, rub-off resistance is good and the roundness of the do is good (col. 5 lines 14-16). The self-dispersing pigment may be carbon black having anionic groups such as -COOM (carboxylate), -SO3M (sulfonate), -PO3HM and -PO3M2, wherein M is hydrogen, alkali metal, ammonium or organic ammonium bonded to the surface (col. 7 lines 23-51). The particle size of the self-dispersing pigment is in the range of 0.05 to 3 μ m (col. 9 lines 30-35). The second pigment may also be a carbon black (col. 9 lines 37-49). The amount of the first and second pigment is preferably within a range of 0.1 to 15 percent by weight and a ratio of the first pigment to the second pigment is preferably within a range of 5-95 to 97/3 (col. 10 lines 5-13). The aqueous medium comprises water and a water-soluble organic solvent, wherein the organic solvents may be used alone or in any combination (col. 11 lines 30-59). The reference discloses that the Ka value is determined according to the kind and amount of a surfactant added (col. 12 lines 30-45). The ink composition may be applied to a printing medium by means of a publicly known ink applying means to form an image which would include ink jet printing (col. 14 lines 6-17). See example 1, wherein the pigment dispersion 4 would be the self-dispersing pigment (MMC) and pigment dispersion 4 would be the carbon black dispersion, glycerol would be the humectant, diethylene glycol, would be the organic solvent, Acteylenol EH would be the surfactant as claimed by Applicant in claims 1 and 8-13. The ratio of the pigment dispersion 1 to pigment dispersion 4 is in a 1:1 ratio which encompasses the claimed range set forth in

Application/Control Number: 10/622,979

Art Unit: 1755

claims 1. The composition as taught by Koitabashi et al appears to anticipate the

claimed invention.

Alternatively when the weight ratio overlap the claimed range, Koitabashi et al and the claims differ in that Koitabashi et al does not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the compositional proportions taught by Koitabashi et al overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-19-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Koitabashi et al has a weight ratio range from 4/6 to 9/1 is a very wide range. This is not deemed persuasive since arguments cannot take the place of evidence in the record to overcome a rejection. See MPEP 2145.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,979

Art Unit: 1755

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,979 Page 6

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

VFF